## SCHWEGMAN ■ LUNDBERG ■ WOESSNER ■ KLUTH

## **United States Patent Application**

COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below named inventor I hereby declare that: my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name; that

I verily believe I am the original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled: **POLYHYDROXY GLYCOPEPTIDE DERIVATIVES**.

The specification of which is attached hereto.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the patentability of this application in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 (attached hereto). I also acknowledge my duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability which became available between a filing date of a prior application and the national or PCT international filing date in the event this is a Continuation-In-Part application in accordance with 37 C.F.R. §1.63(e).

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. §119(a)-(d) or 365(b) of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate, or 365(a) of any PCT international application which designated at least one country other than the United States of America, listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on the basis of which priority is claimed:

No such claim for priority is being made at this time.

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

Application Number 60/213,428

H

Filing Date
June 22, 2000

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 120 or 365(c) of any United States and PCT international application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States or PCT international application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56(a) which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

No such claim for priority is being made at this time.

Attorney Docket No.: 1343.006US1 Serial No. not assigned

Serial No. not assigned Filing Date: not assigned

I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or patent agent(s) to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith:

an ousiness in the	atom and Traden				
Anglin, J. Michael	Reg. No. 24,916	Hagenah, Jeffrey A.	Reg. No. 35,175	Nelson, Albin J.	Reg. No. 28,650
Arora, Suneel	Reg. No. 42,267	Gortych, Joseph E.	Reg. No. 41,791	Nielsen, Walter W.	Reg. No. 25,539
Beekman, Marvin L.	Reg. No. 38,377	Haack, John L.	Reg. No. 36,154	Padys, Danny J.	Reg. No. 35,635
Bianchi, Timothy E.	Reg. No. 39,610	Harris, Robert J.	Reg. No. 37,346	Parker, J. Kevin	Reg. No. 33,024
Billion, Richard E.	Reg. No. 32,836	Hill, Stanley K.	Reg. No. 37,548	Perdok, Monique M.	Reg. No. 42,989
Black, David W.	Reg. No. 42,331	Jackson Huebsch, Katharine A.	Reg. No. 47,670	Peterson, David C.	Reg. No. 47,857
Boone, David E.	Reg. No. 27,857	Jurkovich, Patti J.	Reg. No. 44,813	Prout, William F.	Reg. No. 33,995
Brennan, Leoniede M.	Reg. No. 35,832	Kalis, Janal M.	Reg. No. 37,650	Schumm, Sherry W.	Reg. No. 39,422
Brennan, Thomas F.	Reg. No. 35,075	Klima-Silberg, Catherine I.	Reg. No. 40,052	Schwegman, Micheal L.	Reg. No. 25,816
Brooks, Edward J., III	Reg. No. 40,925	Kluth, Daniel J.	Reg. No. 32,146	Scott, John C.	Reg. No. 38,613
Chadwick, Robin A.	Reg. No. 36,477	Lacy, Rodney L.	Reg. No. 41,136	Smith, Michael G.	Reg. No. 45,368
Clark, Barbara J.	Reg. No. 38,107	Lemaire, Charles A.	Reg. No. 36,198	Speier, Gary J.	Reg. No. 45,458
Clise, Timothy B.	Reg. No. 40,957	LeMoine, Dana B.	Reg. No. 40,062	Steffey, Charles E.	Reg. No. 25,179
Cohen, Joyce	Reg. No. 44,622	Lundberg, Steven W.	Reg. No. 30,568	Stordal, Leif T.	Reg. No. 46,251
Dahl, John M.	Reg. No. 44,639	Maki, Peter C.	Reg. No. 42,832	Terry, Kathleen R.	Reg. No. 31,884
Drake, Eduardo E.	Reg. No. 40,594	Malen, Peter L.	Reg. No. 44,894	Tong, Viet V.	Reg. No. 45,416
Embretson, Janet E.	Reg. No. 39,665	Mates, Robert E.	Reg. No. 35,271	Viksnins, Ann S.	Reg. No. 37,748
Fordenbacher, Paul J.	Reg. No. 42,546	McCrackin, Ann M.	Reg. No. 42,858	Vogel, Peter J.	Reg. No. 41,363
Forrest, Bradley A.	Reg. No. 30,837	Moore, Charles L., Jr.	Reg. No. 33,742	Woessner, Warren D.	Reg. No. 30,440
Gamon, Owen J.	Reg. No. 36,143	Nama, Kash	Reg. No. 44,255		
5 April 20 2 April 20 2 April 20 2 April 20					
I hereby author	orize them to act and i	rely on instructions from and	communicate direc	ctly with the person/assigned	e/attorney/
	onze mem to act and i	tery on instructions from and	ommunicate unec	try with the person/assigner	1 C C 11
		t this case to them and by who			
		struct Schwegman, Lundberg,		h, P.A. to the contrary. Add	litionally, I hereby
authorize David E. Boo	one to revoke or add r	named attorneys at his discreti	on.		
gast.					
	nondence in this case	to Schwegman, Lundberg, V	Voessner & Kluth	. P.A. at the address indica	ted below:
	Pondon	P.O. Box 2938, Minnea		-,	
A DECEMBER OF THE PROPERTY OF		Telephone No. (61	-		
in the second se		refeptione 140. (0)	2)313-0900		
I hereby decla		<del></del>			
		made herein of my own know			
		t these statements were made			
made are punishable by	y fine or imprisonmen	t, or both, under Section 1001	of Title 18 of the	United States Code and that	t such willful false
		application or any patent issu			
J I.	,	11 31			
Full Name of joint inve	entor number 1 · (	Guang Yang			
		Juang Lang	Pasidanas Fasta	- City CA	
Citizenship:	China	D. 17 10177	Residence: Foste	r City, CA	
Post Office Address:		ty Drive, No. 104W			
	Foster Cit	y, CA 94404			
Signature:			Date:		
-8	Guang Yang				
	3 8				
Evil Nama of identification	entor number 2 : I	Donald E. Schmidt, Jr.			
Full Name of joint inve			Doridanas D. 1	CA	
Citizenship:		ates of America	Residence: Brisb	ane, CA	
Post Office Address:		rado Street			
	Brisbane,	CA 94005			
Signature:			Date:		

Donald E. Schmidt, Jr.

Attorney Docket No.: 1343.006US1

Serial No. not assigned Filing Date: not assigned

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of joint invent Citizenship: Post Office Address:	or number 3: J. Kevin Judice United States of America 212 Dolores Street El Granada, CA 94018	Residence: El Granada, CA	
Signature:	J. Kevin Judice	Date:	
Full Name of inventor: Citizenship: Post Office Address:		Residence:	
Signature:		Date:	
Full Name of inventor: Catizenship: Post Office Address:		Residence:	
Signature:		Date:	
Full Name of inventor: Citizenship: Post Office Address:		Residence:	
Signature:		Date:	

Attorney Docket No.: 1343.006US1 Serial No. not assigned Filing Date: not assigned

## § 1.56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability.

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
  - (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
  - the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information of record in the application, and

  (1) It establishes, by itself Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being
  - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
  - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
    - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
    - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are: (c)
  - (1) Each inventor named in the application:
  - Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
  - Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, (d) agent, or inventor.